

Lecture 10: 5/4/2017

Lecturer: C. Seshadhri

Scribe: Nicole Johns

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

NB *These notes only cover the second part of lecture 10*

10.1 Proof the general tester for monotonicity on the hypercube works

Lemma 10.1 $\sum_{1=d}^{\infty} \varepsilon_f, i = \Omega(\varepsilon_f)$

We seek to prove that the average distance of the line is at least ε_f . To do this we will implement the sorting operator $S_i(g)$. This sorts g along every $i - line$.

Lemma 10.2 *Suppose g is monotone along dimension $1, \dots, i - 1$. Examine $S_i(g)$*

1. $S_i(g)$ is monotone along $1, \dots, i$
2. For $j > i, \varepsilon_{S_i(g), j} \leq \varepsilon_{g, j}$

In plain English, that the average distance along the j line in $S_i(g)$ is less than or equal to the average distance along the j line in g .

Sorting in the i -th dimension will not make things worse in the j -th dimension.

Now we must prove the correctness of the above lemma. We will do this by looking at each of the two parts individually. First we will prove that $S_i(g)$ is monotone along $1, \dots, i$

Proof: Our goal is to sort columns in a $n \times n$ matrix and observe the subsequent changes in the rows. To do this we will use the following technique,

1. Select a sorting algorithm such as bubble sort
2. We only need to take two adjacent rows $(r, r+1)$ and apply bubble sort. This gives us a $2 \times n$ sub-matrix
3. Sort columns in the sub-matrix and prove lemma sub properties hold

■

This allows us to concentrate on a simpler $2 \times n$ sub-matrix where there are only four possible choices, rather than a more complex $n \times n$ matrix.

The case analysis is left as an exercise.

We also still need to prove the second part of the lemma, “For $j > i, \varepsilon_{S_{i(g)},j} \leq \varepsilon_{g,j}$ ”.

Proof:

Consider that we have two rows, r and $r + 1$. We will then sort the columns.

The functions are initially f_r and f_{r+1} . After the transformation the functions become f'_r and f'_{r+1} .

We want to prove that,

$$\varepsilon_{f'_r} + \varepsilon_{f'_{r+1}} \leq \varepsilon_{f_r} + \varepsilon_{f_{r+1}}$$

Let A be the to closest monotone function to f_r , B the closest monotone function to f_{r+1} . We will also mark the point where the zeros change to ones as a and b respectively.

Suppose,

$$\text{dist}(f'_r, A) + \text{dist}(f'_{r+1}, B) \leq \text{dist}(f_r, A) + \text{dist}(f_{r+1}, B)$$

By definition, $\text{dist}(f_r, A) + \text{dist}(f_{r+1}, B) = \varepsilon_{f_r} + \varepsilon_{f_{r+1}}$ and $\text{dist}(f'_r, A) + \text{dist}(f'_{r+1}, B) \leq \varepsilon_{f'_r} + \varepsilon_{f'_{r+1}}$ since this is the maximum distance to monotonicity. ■

Case analysis:

If $a < b$, then $\text{dist}(f'_r, A) + \text{dist}(f_{r+1}, B) \leq \text{dist}(f_r, A) + \text{dist}(f'_{r+1}, B)$

If $a > b$, then $\text{dist}(f'_r, B) + \text{dist}(f_{r+1}, A) \leq \text{dist}(f_r, B) + \text{dist}(f'_{r+1}, B)$

References

- [GGLRS00] O. GOLDREICH, S. GOLDWASSER, E. LEHMAN, ET AL., Testing Monotonicity, *Combinatorica* **20** (2000), pp. 301–337.
- [DGL+00] Y. DODIS, O. GOLDREICH, E. LEHMAN, ET AL. Improved Testing Algorithms for Monotonicity, (2000), pp. 1-19.