

Lecture 6: 4/27/2017

Lecturer: C. Seshadhri

Scribe: Ahmed Elshaarany

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

6.1 General Monotonicity Testers Through Ramsey Theory

Theorem 6.1 *Any monotonicity tester for function $f : [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ requires $\Omega(\log(n))$ queries.*

Definition 6.2 *In [F02], a (t, ϵ, δ) -randomized tester is a monotonicity tester with the proximity parameter ϵ that:*

1. *makes $\leq t$ queries on an input function*
2. *makes $\leq \delta$ error on any input*

Theorem 6.3 *In [F02], If \exists a (t, ϵ, δ) -tester, there exists a $(t, \epsilon, 2\delta)$ comparison-based tester.*

Theorem 6.4 *In [R30], given any finite coloring of \mathbb{N}^s , there exists an infinite monochromatic set where:*

- *Finite coloring: $\chi : \mathbb{N}^s \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, c\}$*
- *Monochromatic set: $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\chi|_{M^s}$ is constant*
- *\mathbb{N} -Natural numbers*
- *$\forall s \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$: All subsets of \mathbb{N} with cardinality s , where $s \in \mathbb{N}$*

Theorem 6.5 *In section 2 of [CS14], a theorem states that if we fix $t \in \mathbb{N}$, given a collection of finite coloring for $\mathbb{N}^{(2)}, \mathbb{N}^{(3)}, \dots, \mathbb{N}^{(t)}$, \exists an infinite monochromatic set w.r.t. all these colorings.*

We can define a monotonicity tester by considering a function P , and for every sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s in $[n]$ ($s \leq t$) and x_{s+1} in $[n]$, then $P_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s}^{x_{s+1}} : \mathbb{N}^{(s)} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ s.t. $\forall T \in \mathbb{N}^{(s)}$:

$\sum_{x \in [n]} P_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s}^x(T) + P_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s}^{ACC}(T) + P_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s}^{REJ}(T) = 1$, where:

- $P_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s}^{ACC} : \mathbb{N}^{(s)} \rightarrow [0, 1]$
- $P_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s}^{REJ} : \mathbb{N}^{(s)} \rightarrow [0, 1]$
- T : Values in query order

It is worth mentioning that the above equation specifies that the next query is a probability distribution.

Now, consider the function $q_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, \sigma}^{x_{s+1}}: \mathbb{N}^{(s)} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where σ : permutations of $[s] \in S_s$, then:

$q_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, \sigma}^{x_{s+1}}(A) = P_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s}^{x_{s+1}}(\sigma(a_1), \sigma(a_2), \dots, \sigma(a_s))$, where $A = a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \dots < a_s$ are the values stored in sorted order. Therefore, in this case: $T \equiv (A, \sigma)$

To illustrate more, assume that the tester has queried: x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s and gets back the values: v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s , then we get $P_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s}^s(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s)$. In q-world, we first sort (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) to (σ, A) and therefore $v_i = \sigma(a_i)$

Claim 6.6 *Tester is comparison-based \equiv All q functions are constant*

Definition 6.7 *A tester is discrete if $\exists K \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. all q-values (p-values) are multiples of $\frac{1}{K}$*

Claim 6.8 *If \exists a (t, ε, δ) -tester, there exists a $(t, \varepsilon, 2\delta)$ -discrete tester.*

Lemma 6.9 *If \exists a $(t, \varepsilon, 2\delta)$ -discrete tester, then there exists a (t, ε, δ) -comparison-based tester.*

Proof: Define the following colorings of $\mathbb{N}^{(s)}$:

- $\chi: \mathbb{N}^{(s)} \rightarrow \{0, \frac{1}{K}, \frac{2}{K}, \frac{3}{K}, \dots, 1\}^{s!(n+2)n^s}$
- $\chi(A) = (q_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, \sigma}^{x_{s+1}}(A) \forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, \sigma, x_{s+1})$

Then, \exists an infinite set $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ (according to Ramsey) that is monochromatic.

Fix any s , and $A_1, A_2 \in M^s$, then:

- $\chi(A_1) = (q_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, \sigma}^{x_{s+1}}(A_1) \forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, \sigma, x_{s+1})$
- $\chi(A_2) = (q_{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, \sigma}^{x_{s+1}}(A_2) \forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, \sigma, x_{s+1})$

■

\exists infinite $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall f: [n] \rightarrow M$, the tester \mathcal{T} is comparison-based.

Let $M = \{m_1, m_2, \dots\}$

Define bijection: $\phi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow M$, then $\phi(i) = m_i$

Given any f , $\phi \circ f$ has the same distance of monotonicity as f . Then the final tester \mathcal{C} invokes \mathcal{T} on $\phi \circ f$ and \mathcal{C} is comparison-based. It is worth mentioning that $\phi \circ f$ has range M .

If the range is finite or small (i.e. $f: [n] \rightarrow [r]$), [Pallavoor-Rakhodmikova-Varma17] give an $bigO(\frac{\log(r)}{\varepsilon})$ tester. Also, [Blais-Rakhodmikova-Yaroslartsev14] Non-adaptive testers require $\Omega(\log(r))$ queries.

6.2 Proof of Ramsey's Theory

Theorem 6.10 *Given finite coloring of $\mathbb{N}^{(\sim)}$, \exists infinite monochromatic subset of \mathbb{N} .*

Proof: for $s = 2$, let a_0 be the minimum of \mathbb{N} . Pick the infinite color class, say color is c_0 , and call that set S_1 .

In S_1 , let infinite color class gave color c_1 , call that set S_2 .

Hence, some color c appears infinitely in c_0, c_1, \dots , hence that color class is monochromatic.

Given infinite set S_i :

1. Let a_i be the minimum of S_i
2. There exists infinite set $S_{i+1} \subseteq S_i$ and color c_i s.t. $\forall s \in S_i, \chi((a, s)) = c_i$.

Therefore by induction on s , we can continue the rest of the proof. ■

References

- [F02] E. FISCHER, On the strength of comparisons in property testing, *Information and Computation Journal* **189** (2004), pp. 107–116.
- [R30] F.P. RAMSEY, On a problem of formal logic, *Classic Papers in Combinatorics* (2009), pp. 1–24.
- [PRV17] R. PALLAVOOR, S. RASKHODNIKOVA and N. VARMA, Parameterized Property Testing of Functions, *8th Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science, ITCS* **17** (2017), pp. 9–11.
- [CS14] D. CHAKRABARTY and C. SESHADHRI, An Optimal Lower Bound for Monotonicity Testing over Hypergrids, *Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques* (2013), pp. 425–435.