

Unbiased estimates for linear regression via volume sampling

Michał Dereziński

Manfred K. Warmuth

Linear regression

$$L(w) = \sum_i (x_i w - y_i)^2$$

$$w^* = \operatorname{argmin}_w L(w)$$

Subsampling for linear regression

Given: n points $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with hidden labels $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$
Goal: Minimize loss $L(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_i (\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{w} - y_i)^2$ over all n points

Select $S = \{4, 6, 9\}$

Receive y_4, y_6, y_9

Simple strategy: Solve the subproblem, $\mathbf{w}^*(S) = \mathbf{X}_S^{+\top} \mathbf{y}_S$

Reverse iterative volume sampling

size n $\{1..n\}$ Start with $S = \{1..n\}$
 $n-1$ Sample index $i \in S$
 Go to set $S_{-i} = S - \{i\}$
 s $P(S_{-i}|S) = \frac{\det(\mathbf{X}_{S_{-i}} \mathbf{X}_{S_{-i}}^\top)}{(s-d) \det(\mathbf{X}_S \mathbf{X}_S^\top)}$ Repeat until desired size
 $s-1$ $S = S_{-i}$ Runtime: $O(n^2 d)$

telescoping product

$$P(\downarrow_{S_0} \{1..n\}) = \prod_{\text{edges of path}} P(S_{-i}|S) = \frac{1}{(n-d) \dots (s-d+1)} \frac{\det(\mathbf{X}_{S_0} \mathbf{X}_{S_0}^\top)}{\det(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^\top)}$$

$$P(S_0) = \sum_{\text{paths } \pi \text{ ending at } S_0} P(\pi) = \frac{\det(\mathbf{X}_{S_0} \mathbf{X}_{S_0}^\top)}{\binom{n-d}{s-d} \det(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^\top)}$$

Expensive labels

Question: How many labels needed?

- All x_i given
- Labels y_i unknown
- Learner can ask for a subset of labels

Volume sampling

$S \subseteq \{1..n\}$ chosen w.p.
 \sim squared volume of parallelepiped spanned by the $\{\mathbf{x}_i : i \in S\}$

Distribution over all d -element subsets S :

$$P(S) = \det(\mathbf{X}_S \mathbf{X}_S^\top) / Z$$

Normalization factor obtained via Cauchy-Binet formula:

$$Z = \sum_{S:|S|=d} \det(\mathbf{X}_S \mathbf{X}_S^\top) = \det(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^\top)$$

Unbiased estimator for pseudo-inverse \mathbf{X}^+

Key trick: To each subset S assign a formula $\mathbf{F}(S)$ st $\mathbf{F}(S) = \sum_{i \in S} P(S_{-i}|S) \mathbf{F}(S_{-i})$.

Then: $\mathbb{E}_S[\mathbf{F}(S)] = \mathbf{F}(\{1..n\})$

Expectation formulas for $(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{I}_S)^+$

- $\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{I}_S)^+] = \mathbf{X}^+$ (unbiasedness)
- $\mathbb{E}[\underbrace{(\mathbf{X}_S \mathbf{X}_S^\top)^{-1}}_{((\mathbf{X} \mathbf{I}_S)^+)^2}] = \frac{n-d+1}{s-d+1} \underbrace{(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^\top)^{-1}}_{(\mathbf{X}^+)^2}$ (variance)

Corollary: $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{w}^*(S)] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{I}_S)^{\top} \mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{w}^*$

Answer: one label

- x_{\max} (furthest from 0) is bad
 - any deterministic choice bad

Good: 1 label y_i drawn $\sim x_i^2$
Predict: $w_i^* = \frac{y_i}{x_i}$

Loss formula: $\mathbb{E}_i[L(w_i^*)] = 2 L(w^*)$

Unbiasedness: $\mathbb{E}_i[w_i^*] = \sum_i \frac{x_i^2}{P(i)} \frac{y_i}{x_i} = w^*$

Loss expectation formula

Theorem
 For a volume-sampled set S of size d ,

$$\mathbb{E}[L(\mathbf{w}^*(S))] = (d+1) L(\underbrace{\mathbf{w}^*}_{\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{w}^*(S)]})$$

if \mathbf{X} is in general position

- distribution does not depend on labels
 - no range restrictions!

Averaging unbiased estimators

Let $\hat{\mathbf{y}}(S) = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w}^*(S)$. If $\mathbf{w}^*(S)$ is unbiased ($\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{w}^*(S)] = \mathbf{w}^*$), then:

loss bound $\mathbb{E}[L(\mathbf{w}^*(S))] \leq (1+c)L(\mathbf{w}^*)$ \iff variance bound $\mathbb{E}[\|\hat{\mathbf{y}}(S) - \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathbf{y}}(S)]\|^2] \leq c L(\mathbf{w}^*)$

Take average of k i.i.d. samples of size s : $\bar{\mathbf{w}}^* = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{w}^*(S_j)$

$$\mathbb{E}[L(\bar{\mathbf{w}}^*)] \leq \left(1 + \frac{c}{k}\right) L(\mathbf{w}^*) \implies \frac{s c}{\epsilon} \text{ labels achieve } (1 + \epsilon)L(\mathbf{w}^*)$$

With size d volume sampling, we need d^2/ϵ labels. Is d/ϵ possible?

Open: Is there unbiased estimator with $s = O(d)$ and $c = O(1)$?