Matrix Multiplication

Matrix Multiplication

Matrix multiplication. Given two n-by-n matrices A and B, compute C = AB.

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj}$$

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1n} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \cdots & c_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1n} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Brute force. $\Theta(n^3)$ arithmetic operations.

Fundamental question. Can we improve upon brute force?

Matrix Multiplication: Warmup

Divide-and-conquer.

- Divide: partition A and B into $\frac{1}{2}$ n-by- $\frac{1}{2}$ n blocks.
- Conquer: multiply $8 \frac{1}{2}$ n-by- $\frac{1}{2}$ n recursively.
- Combine: add appropriate products using 4 matrix additions.

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{11} = (A_{11} \times B_{11}) + (A_{12} \times B_{21})$$

$$C_{12} = (A_{11} \times B_{12}) + (A_{12} \times B_{22})$$

$$C_{21} = (A_{21} \times B_{11}) + (A_{22} \times B_{21})$$

$$C_{22} = (A_{21} \times B_{12}) + (A_{22} \times B_{22})$$

$$T(n) = \underbrace{8T(n/2)}_{\text{recursive calls}} + \underbrace{\Theta(n^2)}_{\text{add, form submatrices}} \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^3)$$

Matrix Multiplication: Key Idea

Key idea. multiply 2-by-2 block matrices with only 7 multiplications.

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix} \qquad P_1 = A_{11} \times (B_{12} - B_{22})$$

$$C_{11} = P_5 + P_4 - P_2 + P_6$$

$$C_{12} = P_1 + P_2$$

$$C_{21} = P_3 + P_4$$

$$C_{22} = P_5 + P_1 - P_3 - P_7$$

$$P_{1} = A_{11} \times (B_{12} - B_{22})$$

$$P_{2} = (A_{11} + A_{12}) \times B_{22}$$

$$P_{3} = (A_{21} + A_{22}) \times B_{11}$$

$$P_{4} = A_{22} \times (B_{21} - B_{11})$$

$$P_{5} = (A_{11} + A_{22}) \times (B_{11} + B_{22})$$

$$P_{6} = (A_{12} - A_{22}) \times (B_{21} + B_{22})$$

$$P_{7} = (A_{11} - A_{21}) \times (B_{11} + B_{12})$$

- 7 multiplications.
- 18 = 10 + 8 additions (or subtractions).

Fast Matrix Multiplication

Fast matrix multiplication. (Strassen, 1969)

- Divide: partition A and B into $\frac{1}{2}$ n-by- $\frac{1}{2}$ n blocks.
- Compute: $14 \frac{1}{2}$ n-by- $\frac{1}{2}$ n matrices via 10 matrix additions.
- Conquer: multiply 7 ½n-by-½n matrices recursively.
- Combine: 7 products into 4 terms using 8 matrix additions.

Analysis.

- Assume n is a power of 2.
- T(n) = # arithmetic operations.

$$T(n) = \underbrace{7T(n/2)}_{\text{recursive calls}} + \underbrace{\Theta(n^2)}_{\text{add, subtract}} \implies T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

Fast Matrix Multiplication in Practice

Implementation issues.

- Sparsity.
- Caching effects.
- Numerical stability.
- Odd matrix dimensions.
- Crossover to classical algorithm around n = 128.

Common misperception: "Strassen is only a theoretical curiosity."

- Advanced Computation Group at Apple Computer reports 8x speedup on G4 Velocity Engine when $n \sim 2,500$.
- Range of instances where it's useful is a subject of controversy.

Remark. Can "Strassenize" Ax=b, determinant, eigenvalues, and other matrix ops.

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?

A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?

A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?
- A. Impossible. [Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?
- A. Impossible. [Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?
- A. Impossible. [Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971]
- Q. Two 3-by-3 matrices with only 21 scalar multiplications? $\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?
- A. Impossible. [Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971]
- Q. Two 3-by-3 matrices with only 21 scalar multiplications? $\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$ A. Also impossible.

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?
- A. Impossible. [Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971]
- Q. Two 3-by-3 matrices with only 21 scalar multiplications? $\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$ A. Also impossible.

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?
- A. Impossible. [Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971]
- Q. Two 3-by-3 matrices with only 21 scalar multiplications? $\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$ A. Also impossible.
- Q. Two 70-by-70 matrices with only 143,640 scalar multiplications?

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?
- A. Impossible. [Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971]
- Q. Two 3-by-3 matrices with only 21 scalar multiplications? $\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$ A. Also impossible.
- Q. Two 70-by-70 matrices with only 143,640 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Pan, 1980]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?
- A. Impossible. [Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971]
- Q. Two 3-by-3 matrices with only 21 scalar multiplications? $\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$ A. Also impossible.
- Q. Two 70-by-70 matrices with only 143,640 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Pan, 1980]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?
- A. Impossible. [Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971]
- Q. Two 3-by-3 matrices with only 21 scalar multiplications? $\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$ A. Also impossible.
- Q. Two 70-by-70 matrices with only 143,640 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Pan, 1980]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

Decimal wars.

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?
- A. Impossible. [Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971]
- Q. Two 3-by-3 matrices with only 21 scalar multiplications? $\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$ A. Also impossible.
- Q. Two 70-by-70 matrices with only 143,640 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Pan, 1980]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

Decimal wars.

December, 1979: O(n^{2.521813}).

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 7 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Strassen, 1969]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$$

- Q. Multiply two 2-by-2 matrices with only 6 scalar multiplications?
- A. Impossible. [Hopcroft and Kerr, 1971]
- Q. Two 3-by-3 matrices with only 21 scalar multiplications? $\Theta(n^{\log_2 6}) = O(n^{2.59})$ A. Also impossible.
- Q. Two 70-by-70 matrices with only 143,640 scalar multiplications?
- A. Yes! [Pan, 1980]

$$\Theta(n^{\log_3 21}) = O(n^{2.77})$$

Decimal wars.

- December, 1979: O(n^{2.521813}).
 January, 1980: O(n^{2.521801}).

$$\Theta(n^{\log_{70} 143640}) = O(n^{2.80})$$

Best known. O(n^{2.376}) [Coppersmith-Winograd, 1987.]

Conjecture. $O(n^{2+\epsilon})$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Caveat. Theoretical improvements to Strassen are progressively less practical.